## **Response to consultation: Proposed reforms to TMA Spot FX Fixings**

 Full name:

 Job title:

 Job title:

 Organisation:

 Type of organisation:

 Contact address:

 Telephone number:

 Email:

 Disclosure of identity/submission (check as appropriate):

 □
 Do <u>not</u> disclose my identity

□ Do *<u>not</u>* disclose my submission

Questions	Response	
Question 1 Given the reported volume and number of transactions, do you agree that there are sufficient conditions to calculate the Spot FX Fixings using <u>actual transactions</u> for every trading day?	<ul> <li>Agree – sufficient conditions</li> <li>Disagree – insufficient conditions</li> <li><i>If disagreed</i>, state the rationale</li> </ul>	
<b>Recommendation 1: Calculate the Spot FX Fixings using spot transactions</b> routed through approved money brokers		
Question 2 Given the need to anchor a benchmark based on observable bona fide arm's length transactions, do you agree that <u>only transactions</u> that are routed through approved <u>money brokers</u> should be used? If not, what other types of transactions should be included, and how will such transactions meet the international recommendations?	<ul> <li>Agree – only transactions routed through approved money brokers</li> <li>Disagree – other types of transaction:</li></ul>	

Recommendation 2: Include trades from both Electronic Brokers (EBs) and Voice Brokers (VBs)		
Questions Question 3 Do you agree that we should <u>collect</u> <u>trades from both EBs and VBs</u> in calculating a transaction-based spot fixing? Are there other types of transactions that we should take into account?		
Question 4 Do you agree that the <u>same types of</u> <u>transactions should be used in</u> <u>calculating both USD/HKD and</u> <u>USD/CNY(HK) fixes</u> ? If not, why and how should they be different?	<ul> <li>Agree – same type of transactions</li> <li>Disagree – different type of transactions</li> <li><i>If disagreed</i>, state the rationale</li> </ul>	
<b>Recommendation 3: A fixing window</b> <b>Question 5</b> Do you agree that a <u>30-minute</u> <u>fixing window</u> strikes the right balance in incorporating a reasonably large number of transactions to calculate a fix and in allowing market players to replicate it? If not, what is your preferred fixing window duration, and why and how is it more suitable?	<ul> <li>Yes – 30-minute fixing window</li> <li>No –</li> <li>5 minutes</li> <li>10 minutes</li> <li>15 minutes</li> <li>Others:</li> </ul>	

Questions	Response
Question 6 Do you agree that we should follow the WMR Fix approach to <u>have the</u> <u>fixing time at the mid-point of</u> <u>fixing window</u> ? If not, what is your preference, and why and how is it more suitable?	<ul> <li>Agree – middle of fixing window</li> <li>Disagree –</li> <li>10:30 am – 11:00 am</li> </ul>
Question 7 Do you agree that both USD/HKD and USD/CNY(HK) fixes should have the <u>same duration</u> as the fixing window, and adopt the <u>same</u> <u>approach</u> in having the fixing time at the mid-point of the fixing window?	<ul> <li>Agree – same duration and approach</li> <li>Disagree – different duration and approach</li> <li>If disagreed, state the rationale</li> </ul>
Recommendation 4: Calculate the fix collected transactions Question 8 Do you agree that volume-weighted median is the suitable averaging method? If not, what other method should be used, and why is it more suitable?	x as the volume-weighted median of the Agree – volume-weighted median Disagree – Mean Median Volume-weighted mean Other method: If disagreed, state the rationale

Questions	Response	
Question 9 Do you agree that the <u>same</u> <u>averaging method</u> should be used for both USD/HKD and USD/CNY(HK) fixes?	<ul> <li>Agree – same method</li> <li>Disagree – different method</li> <li><i>If disagreed</i>, state the rationale</li> </ul>	
Recommendation 5: Arrangement if there is no transaction within the fixing window – Either no fixing or use the last fix		
Question 10 Do you consider " <u>no fix</u> " or " <u>use</u> <u>the last fix (no expiry time limit)</u> " more suitable as the fall-back arrangement in case there were no transactions during the fixing window, and what are the reasons behind?	<ul> <li>"No fix" is more suitable</li> <li>"Use the last fix (no expiry limit)" is more suitable</li> <li>Neither</li> <li>State the reasons behind</li> </ul>	
Question 11 Do you consider <u>any other fall-back</u> <u>option</u> more suitable? If so, why?	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes – neither "no fix" nor "use the last fix" is suitable. I consider the following more suitable:</li> <li>If yes, state the rationale</li> </ul>	
Question 12 Do you agree that <u>the same</u> <u>fall-back arrangement</u> should apply to both USD/HKD and USD/CNY(HK) fixes?	<ul> <li>Agree – same arrangement</li> <li>Disagree – different arrangement</li> <li><i>If disagreed</i>, state the rationale</li> </ul>	

<b>Recommendation 6:</b> A three-month	notice period for a planned overnight
change in calculation methodology	
Questions	Response
Question 13 Do you agree with a <u>three-month</u> <u>notice period</u> for transition?	<ul> <li>Agree – three-month notice period</li> <li>Disagree –</li> <li>One-month</li> <li>Six-month</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>If disagreed, state the rationale</li> </ul>
Question 14 Do you agree with a <u>planned</u> <u>overnight change</u> in the calculation methodology? If not, why?	<ul> <li>Agree – overnight change</li> <li>Disagree –</li> <li>Parallel run for three months</li> <li>Parallel run for six months</li> <li>Others:</li></ul>
Question 15 Do you agree that the <u>same</u> <u>transition arrangement</u> should apply to both USD/HKD and USD/CNY(HK) fixes?	<ul> <li>Agree – same transition arrangement</li> <li>Disagree – different transition arrangement</li> <li>If disagreed, state the rationale</li> </ul>

Pacammandation 6: A three month notice period for a planned overnight

If there is anything else regarding this consultation that you would like to feedback to the TMA, please include this in your response.

The closing date for responses is **30 June 2015**. *Responses received after this date may not be read*. Consultation responses should be returned to:

- *By mail* TMA Secretariat
   55/F, Two International Finance Centre
   8 Finance Street, Central, Hong Kong
- (2) By fax +852 2878 7297
- (3) By email dkltsui@hkma.gov.hk AND <u>hlam@hkma.gov.hk</u>

## Next steps

We do not intend to write back to those who respond. However, we will read and consider all responses, as well as publish our final response and explain how comments and views have influenced the final decisions on relevant issues.